IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. Crl. Misc. No.14152-M of 2007
Date of decision : 23-01-2009

Daljit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

Ravinder Kaur

....Respondent

2. Crl. Misc. No.4332-M of 2007
Date of decision : 23-01-2009

Resham Kaur

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Ravinder Kaur

....Respondent

3. Crl. Misc. No.41393-M of 2007
Date of decision : 23-01-2009

Harmesh Singh and another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. D.D. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate,

KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA, J. (Oral)

By this common order, three petitions i.e. Criminal Misc.No.14152-M of 2007 preferred by Daljit Kaur and another Vs. Ravinder Kaur, Criminal Misc. No.4332-M of 2007 preferred by Resham Kaur Vs. Ravinder Kaur, Crl. Misc. No.41393-M of 2007 preferred by Harmesh Singh and another Vs. State of Punjab and another shall be decided.

Mr. D.D. Sharma, appearing for the petitioners states that out of these petitions, petitioners namely Resham Kaur, Harmesh Singh and Gurdev Kaur have been discharged by the trial Court. The petitions qua them have been rendered infructuous and the same are disposed of as such.

Counsel for the complainant Sh. Sanjay Gupta submit that all witnesses have been examined in the trial Court except the complainant. Trial is at the fag end therefore no ground is made out to quash the impugned complaint along with the summoning order. This Court had earlier, ordered that personal appearance of the petitioner shall be exempted by the trial Court.

Counsel for the complainant submit that complainant shall appear before the trial Court on the next date of hearing for her examination as a witness.

Counsel for the complainant further submit that during the pendency of the present petition, charge has been framed. Order of framing of charge was challenged by the petitioners before the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Nawanshahr.

Addl. Sessions Judge has dismissed the petition and the order of dismissal was not challenged.

Counsel further submit that order of framing of charge has attained finality.

No further directions are warranted.

Present petitions are disposed of.

(KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

23-1-2009
manju